

supervision

Finding solutions to overcome barriers to effective dissertation

╋



Marjolaine Dey

Head of Dissertation Department, CEESO Paris

+ Dissertation supervision

- Previous study on barriers to dissertation supervision
- →Research methodology (including statistics)
- \rightarrow Student interaction



+ Student-supervisor interaction

- Management strategies business strategy models
- \rightarrow Communication on process, implication and outcome
- \rightarrow **Perception of dissertation**
- Team-based learning: students from other years, groups within the same year
- >Twice-monthly email « newsletter »
- →Individual meetings with students and tutors on demand





- Specific tools to help monitor supervisor-tutor interaction
- →Internal/external examiner marking delta
- \rightarrow Overall marks/assessment
- →Timing/deadlines
- → Students presenting work in 1st session



+ Results



Jdelta between marks (1st session)

Delta	2011 n=45	2012 n=49	T-test
>3 points	42,2%	14,2%	p<0,01
2 to 3 points	39,8%	36,9%	p=0,4
<2 points	18%	48,9%	P<0,05

Overall assessment of dissertations in first session

Year	2011	2012	T-test
Average /20	14,0	13,8	p>0,5 not significant



Deadlines

Hand in dates	2011	2012
Student: dissertation	12% n=52	0% n=48
Supervisor: assessment	20% n=20	0% n=18

Students not presenting work in 1st session

Year	2011 n=52	2012 n=48
Students	7	0
% students	13,5%	0%

+ Conclusion

- Overall marks were unchanged
- Interaction easier: \u03c6 delta, deadlines kept, students more likely to present work at first session
- Simple management techniques may help optimize student-supervisor interaction
- Minimal cost, minimal time
- Study needs larger group, over several years, compared with other cohorts
- Other solutions must be addressed











Marjolaine Dey

Head of Dissertation Department, CEESO Paris